



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/923,984      | 08/07/2001  | Jason Rupe           | 1732 (42059-01170)  | 3566             |

20350 7590 10/07/2005

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP  
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER  
EIGHTH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

LE, KAREN L

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2642

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/923,984

Applicant(s)

RUPE ET AL

Examiner

Karen L. Le

Art Unit

2642

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Pre-Brief Appeal 9/29/05.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,9,10,12-16,18 and 19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,9,10,12-16,18 and 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

***DETAILED ACTION***

1. In view of Applicant's argument in the Pre-Appeal Brief request for review filed 8/15/05, the final Office action mailed 3/11/2005 is hereby withdrawn. This action is made final.

In the request, applicant asserted that a limitation in claim 9 was not addressed by Examiner. Claim 9 should have been listed under the 103 rejection of claims 18 and 19. Claim 9 was simply misgrouped in the previous final office action. The above-mentioned limitation, which recites "at least one of " was indeed addressed in the previous final action.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. (U.S. 6,014,439), in view of Judkins et al. (6,603,854).

3. Regarding claim 1, Walker teaches a method for call processing in a call center (Fig. 1, item 100) comprising the steps of: receiving at least one incoming telephone call over a communications network (Fig. 8a, item 805), collecting information relating to the at least one telephone call (Col. 5, lines 54-55, Col. 4, lines 53-60) presenting at least one interactive audio menu which includes options for being placed in a queue in order to speak with a selected customer service representative (Col. 2, lines 63-67), accessing one or more interactive applications while waiting in the queue and accessing

Art Unit: 2642

only the interactive applications (Col. 2, lines 41-43); upon affirmative selection for being placed in the queue (Col. 2, lines 63-67 and Col. 3, lines 1-7), and presenting an option to be routed to the selected customer service representative upon occurrence of a detected event (col. 3, lines 21-27).

Walker does not explicitly teach assigning a routing priority number for routing the at least one telephone call to the selected customer service representative based on the collected information. Wherein the routing priority number is determined based on at least one of: order in which the at least one incoming call is received, indication made in the at least one telephone call, and from monitoring the interactive applications selected during the at least one telephone call. However, Judkins teaches assigning a routing priority number for routing the at least one telephone call to the selected customer service representative based on the collected information (Col. 13, lines 39-45). The caller's name and telephone number are typically identified by the telephone number (ANI) from which the call is made. Judkins allows a user to prioritize calls based on the number dialed (DNIS), the number dialed from (ANI), and the length of time in queue. The length of time in queue indicates the order in which incoming call is received (note that the claim recites "at least one of ..."). Judkins escalates the priority after the call has been in queue more than a threshold. As a result, calls reach a threshold will be in order of their arrival and the longer is the length of time in queue, the earlier the call is received. Furthermore, the feature of answering calls "in the order in which they were received" is old and well known in the art. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 2642

incorporate Judkins' priority feature into Walker's system to provide routing priority number based on the order in which incoming calls are received in order to route the telephone call to agents based on the collected information from caller's profile.

Regarding claim 3, Walker further teaches the detected event comprises at least one of: a change in an availability status for the selected customer service representative, completion of one of the interactive applications, and a received indication from the at least one telephone call (Fig. 8c, item 865).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. Claims 9-10,12-16,18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. (U.S. 6,014,439), in view of Judkins et al. (U.S. 6,603,854) and further in view of Otto (5,703,943).

Regarding claim 9, Walker further teaches a system for processing telephone calls comprising: a communications network interface device (Fig. 1, item 155) configurable for receiving and processing of at least one incoming telephone call and providing access to at least one interactive application; a queuing application (Fig. 2, item 210) in connection with the network interface (Fig. 1, item 170) which is configured to simultaneously hold the at least one incoming telephone call in a the call

Art Unit: 2642

queue while the at least one incoming telephone call is hosted in the communications network interface (Col. 6, lines 43-45);

said communications network interface device being further configured to provide access to one or more of the at least one interactive applications while the at least one telephone call is in the call queue (Fig. 8B, item 842, 845, 850); and

a call distributing apparatus (Fig. 2, item 150) in connection with at least one communications network interface (Fig. 1, item 250 or 245 or 260), a queuing application (Fig. 2, item 210) in connection with the network interface (Fig. 1, item 170).

Walker does not teach a communication network interface is configured to route the at least one incoming call to a designated destination according to the priority number, and a network interface is configured to determine a priority number for placement of the at least one incoming telephone call in a call queue. However, Judkins teaches a communication network interface is configured to route the at least one incoming call to a designated destination according to the priority number, and a network interface is configured to determine a priority number for placement of the at least one incoming telephone call in a call queue. The caller's name and telephone number are typically identified by the telephone number (AIN) from which the call is made. Judkins allows a user to priotize calls based on the number dialed (DNIS), the number dialed from (AIN), and the length of time in queue, thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Judkins' priority feature into Walker's system to provide routing priority

Art Unit: 2642

number based on order in which incoming call is received in order to route the telephone call to agents based on the collected information from caller's profile.

Walker does not teach the communications network interface, the queuing application, and the call distributing apparatus are resident in a public switched telephone network (PSTN). However, it has been held for many years that the separation or integration of components/functions would be obvious and does not rise to the level of patentability.

Walker does not explicitly teach assigning a routing priority number for routing the at least one telephone call to the selected customer service representative based on the collected information. Wherein the routing priority number is determined based on at least one of: indication made in the at least one telephone call. However, Otto teaches assigning a routing priority number for routing the at least one telephone call to the selected customer service representative based on the collected information (Abs. lines 8-15). The caller's name and telephone number are typically identified by the telephone number (ANI) from which the call is made. Otto allows a user to prioritize calls based on transaction number. An agent provides a transaction number to the caller when the caller has not completed a transaction and the caller is connected to the agent associated with that transaction number. The caller can be served by the same agent while still having the advantage of having their calls queued to any available agent of the ACD if the preferred agent for handling a caller or handling a transaction is not available. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Otto's priority features into Walker's system to

Art Unit: 2642

provide indication (Transaction number) to incoming caller that they will be able to speak with a call attendant and not have to continuously try to establish a connection.

Regarding claim 10, Walker further teaches the destination is a customer telephone system that supports call attendants (Fig. 1, item 160).

Regarding claim 12, Walker further teaches at least one of the communications network interface, the queuing application, the call distributing apparatus; are resident on customer premise equipment (CPE) (Fig. 1, item 110 and 105).

Regarding claim 13, Walker further teaches the communications network interface is configured on voice response unit (VRU) interfaced with the public switched telephone network (PSTN) (Fig. 1, item 155).

Regarding claim 14, Walker further teaches the queuing application is configured on a network based application server (Fig. 2, item 205 and 150).

Regarding claim 15, Walker further teaches the call distributing apparatus is configured on an automatic call distributor (ACD) (Fig. 2, item 150).

Regarding claim 16, Walker further teaches the ACD routes the at least one incoming call to a customer PBX system over data network (Fig. 1, item 145).

Regarding claims 18 and 19, Walker teaches a method for call processing in a call center (Fig. 1, item 100) comprising the steps of: receiving at least one incoming telephone call over a communications network (Fig. 8a, item 805), collecting information relating to the at least one telephone call (Col. 5, lines 54-55, Col. 4, lines 53-60) presenting at least one interactive audio menu which includes options for being placed



Art Unit: 2642

in a queue in order to speak with a selected customer service representative (Col. 2, lines 63-67), accessing one or more interactive applications while waiting in the queue and accessing only the interactive applications (Col. 2, lines 41-43); upon affirmative selection for being placed in the queue (Col. 2, lines 63-67 and Col. 3, lines 1-7), and presenting an option to be routed to the selected customer service representative upon occurrence of a detected event (col. 3, lines 21-27).

Walker does not explicitly teach assigning a routing priority number for routing the at least one telephone call to the selected customer service representative based on the collected information. Wherein the routing priority number is determined based on at least one of: indication made in the at least one telephone call. However, Otto teaches assigning a routing priority number for routing the at least one telephone call to the selected customer service representative based on the collected information (Abs. lines 8-15). The caller's name and telephone number are typically identified by the telephone number (ANI) from which the call is made. Otto allows a user to prioritize calls based on transaction number. An agent provides a transaction number to the caller when the caller has not completed a transaction and the caller is connected to the agent associated with that transaction number. The caller can be served by the same agent while still having the advantage of having their calls queued to any available agent of the ACD if the preferred agent for handling a caller or handling a transaction is not available. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Otto's priority feature into Walker's system to

Art Unit: 2642

provide indication (Transaction number) to incoming caller that they will be able to speak with a call attendant and not have to continuously try to establish a connection.

### **Response to Argument**

5. Applicant's arguments filed on September 29, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

All the arguments have been response in the body of claims rejection.

### **Conclusion**

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7487. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.


Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/923,984  
Art Unit: 2642

Page 10

Karen Ie  
KLL

September 30, 2005

  
JACK CHIANG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER